

SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.: 98-0248-CR

Complete Title
Of Case:

State of Wisconsin,
Plaintiff-Respondent,
v.
William Strong,
Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS
Reported at: 229 Wis. 2d 733
(Ct. App. 1999-Unpublished)

Opinion Filed: June 16, 2000
Submitted on Briefs:
Oral Argument: May 31, 2000

Source of APPEAL
COURT: Circuit Court
COUNTY: Marathon
JUDGE: Vincent K. Howard

JUSTICES:
Concurred:
Dissented:
Not Participating: BRADLEY, J., did not participate.

ATTORNEYS: For the defendant-appellant-petitioner there were
briefs and oral argument by *Jack E. Schairer*, assistant state
public defender.

For the plaintiff-respondent the cause was argued
by *Paul G. Lundsten*, assistant attorney general, with whom on the
brief was *James E. Doyle*, attorney general.

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 98-0248-CR

STATE OF WISCONSIN

:

IN SUPREME COURT

State of Wisconsin,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

William Strong,

Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

FILED

JUN 16, 2000

Cornelia G. Clark
Clerk of Supreme Court
Madison, WI

REVIEW of a decision of the Court of Appeals. *Affirmed.*

¶1 PER CURIAM. The court is equally divided on the question of whether the decision of the court of appeals should be affirmed or reversed. Justice JON P. WILCOX, Justice N. PATRICK CROOKS, and Justice DIANE S. SYKES would affirm; Chief Justice SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, Justice WILLIAM A. BABLITCH, and Justice DAVID T. PROSSER would reverse. Justice ANN WALSH BRADLEY did not participate.

¶2 Accordingly, the decision of the court of appeals is affirmed.

